

**Cleveland Ward Forum Meeting Notes**

**Tuesday 27<sup>th</sup> June 2017**

**Held at St Barnabas Church Hall, Pitshanger Lane W5 1QG**

<b>No</b>	<b>Note</b>	<b>Questions/Suggestions and Action Points</b>
<b>1</b>	<p><b>Chair's welcome and introduction</b> Councillor Lynne Murray chaired the meeting and welcomed residents who attended</p> <p>With the agreement of all present the meeting began with one minute's silence in commemoration of all the victims of the Westminster, Manchester, London Bridge and Finsbury Park Mosque terrorist attacks and those killed in the dreadful Grenfell Tower fire.</p>	
<b>2.</b>	<p><b>Actions from previous meeting</b> Cllr Murray apologised for the fact that the notes of the previous meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> March 2017 had been copied incorrectly with pages missing. The full set of notes were however available on the council's website. There were no matters raised other than those on the agenda.</p>	
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Update on West Ealing Station and Crossrail Complementary measures.</b> Cllr Murray gave an update on the redevelopment of West Ealing Station and the Crossrail complementary measures.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• There have been delays in commissioning the redevelopment of West Ealing Station which will have a knock-on effect on the completion of complementary measures. As yet there is no timetable for completion, but the works should be completed by December 2019. There was concern over increased passenger numbers using West Ealing station.</li><li>• The Leader of the Council, Cllr Julian Bell, has written to Network Rail to complain about the delays and the potential impact of them on Ealing.</li><li>• Chris Cole, the lead officer for the council on the complementary measures has stated that consultation on the complementary measures will take place in the autumn.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The new Crossrail trains are scheduled to begin running in December 2018. If complementary works cannot be done until the station is completed this is potentially a significant problem.</li><li>• Cllr Murray stated that she would go back to John Goldsmith at Crossrail to set up an urgent meeting with Network Rail to discuss the impact of the delays. <b>Subsequently set up by Cllr Murray and held on 12<sup>th</sup> July.</b></li><li>• There was a complaint about the noise from the diesel trains on the Greenford branch line which now</li></ul>

		<p>sit in the new bay platform at West Ealing Station with their engines running. This noise and the fumes have an impact on Manor Road residents and users. <b>Cllr Murray agreed to take this matter up with Network Rail</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It was suggested that there could be a temporary plan to mitigate the delay in completing complementary measures, such as removing parking on one side of Manor Road.</li> <li>• It was also stated by one resident that the Council team dealing with Crossrail needed to be more proactive to win back trust.</li> </ul>
4	<p><b>Local Updates</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Improvements to Drayton Green.</b> Cllr Murray gave an update on the improvements to Drayton Green, being paid for out of Section 106 receipts and the sum paid by the developer of the Manor Works site in compensation for the council’s agreement to remove a small number of mature trees adjacent to the development. The works are in progress.</li> <li>• <b>Update on the proposed Kent Gardens Controlled Parking Zone</b> Cllr David Rodgers explained that objectors to the introduction of the Kent Gardens controlled parking zone (CPZ) had made a formal complaint to the Local Government Ombudsman about what they claim is maladministration by the council of the consultation process to introduce the CPZ. The Council therefore had no option but to suspend the process of introducing the CPZ until the Local Government Ombudsman has considered the complaint. There is no timescale for the consideration of the complaint and the process for the Local Government Ombudsman considering the complaint does not permit the council to ask about the timescale for the consideration of it.</li> <li>• <b>Gurnell Regeneration</b> The papers available for the meeting contained a document that gave answers to “Frequently Asked Questions” about the Gurnell Leisure Centre redevelopment (which are also available on the council’s website with the papers for this meeting). Cllr Ian Proud gave a verbal update on the progress of the</li> </ul>	

	<p>redevelopment proposals which currently included 554 flats as part of the enabling development that would generate the funding to build the new leisure centre. The current proposals were that there would be only one car parking space for every three flats and there was concern about the impact of parking on adjacent areas, particularly the Gurnell Grove estate. Cllr Proud considered that some form of parking control, such as a swipe card entry gate or barrier to the Gurnell Estate may be needed to mitigate the impact. There will be further consultation on the proposals in the autumn and to comment on the planning application in due course.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Vibrations on Scotch Common</b> Cllr Proud reported on the ongoing issue of the vibrations caused by the poor-quality road surface around the Duke of Kent pub, Belvue Road and Cleveland Road near Notting Hill High school.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Cllr Proud agreed to continue to follow-up on this issue to seek a resolution.</b></li> </ul>
5	<p><b>Ward Forum Budgets and spend</b> The Ward Forum budget and spend was considered. Cllr Murray invited bids and suggestions for possible ward forum funded projects.</p>	<p>The following possible projects were proposed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Double yellow lines at the junctions of Meadvale Road with Selby Road and Barnfield Roads because bin lorries cannot turn and have to reverse up these roads. <b>Cllr Murray agreed to follow this suggestion up.</b></li> <li>• CCTV – possibly deployment of mobile CCTV – to help identify parties guilty of dumping rubbish in Manor Road.</li> <li>• Cllr Proud suggested a further contribution to the £150k cost of conservation and restoration of the historic painting in the chancel of St Barnabas Church.</li> <li>• A new sign for the Pitshanger allotments, which was badly damaged.</li> <li>• A new noticeboard for Pitshanger Park</li> <li>• Three wooden posts at the western end of Meadvale Road and parking restrictions to prevent vehicles blocking that entrance route to the park for cyclists and buggies.</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Possible provision of a defibrillator in Pitshanger Park.</li> <li>• Plants in Pitshanger Park</li> </ul>
<b>6. Public Forum</b>		
<p><b>Issues raised:</b></p> <p><b>Prospect of CPZ being extended to Pitshanger Lane</b> A resident asked whether there were any proposals to extend CPZ parking restrictions to Pitshanger Lane and adjoining streets.</p> <p><b>Weekend parking in Drayton Green</b> A resident raised concerns about weekend parking, outside CPZ hours, in the Drayton Green area and whether the CPZ could be extended to prevent it. This could become an even worse problem once Crossrail trains start running.</p> <p><b>Street drinking in and around Manor Road</b> A resident complained of increased street drinking and anti-social behaviour in and around Manor Road and Drayton Avenue following the introduction of the Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) around Deans Gardens in West Ealing and asked whether the PSPO could be extended to Manor Road.</p> <p><b>Dropped kerb in Selby Road</b> Two residents complained about the council granting permission for the installation of a dropped kerb and within curtilage parking for two adjacent properties in Selby Road where the dimensions and sight line in their view clearly did not meet the council's minimum dimension requirements.</p> <p><b>Weed control and cleansing of street gullies</b> A resident complained about weed growth in street gutters and gullies not being treated and controlled.</p> <p><b>Policy on highways maintenance and resurfacing</b></p>	<p>Cllr Rodgers explained that there was no proposal to extend the CPZ to Pitshanger Lane or adjoining roads. Despite a high percentage of parking space being occupied during the day there had been no request for it from residents. Cllr Proud stated that in Greenford, where 76% of parking spaces were occupied only 20 or so residents voted in favour of a CPZ.</p> <p>Cllr Murray said that it could be considered if it became a major problem, but a new consultation would be required and that would cost in the order of £6,000.</p> <p>Cllr Murray explained the process of creating a PSPO, which would need to be clearly necessary and justified. If the problem persists this possibility could be investigated.</p> <p>Cllr Rodgers agreed to meet with the residents at the end of the meeting and take the matter up on their behalf.</p> <p>Cllr Murray said that there is a programme of works for the council's contractor Amey to do this work, and would find out more details of it.</p>	

A resident asked about the council's policy on highways resurfacing and maintenance, saying that their road was in poor condition and had not been resurfaced since 1986.

**Grenfell Tower fire and action taken by Ealing Council**

Cllr Rodgers gave a brief report on the action the council had taken in the aftermath of the Grenfell Tower fire. The council had inspected all high rise blocks in the borough and had confirmed that none had the same glazing that appears to be the cause of the spread of the fire in the tragic Grenfell Tower fire. Cllr Rodgers also explained the work that the council is doing to upgrade fire protection in the high rise blocks on the Gurnell Estate in the ward.

Cllr Murray explained that there was an inspection of all roads in the borough every year and that they were given an objective condition score, the worst being then programmed for repair within the funding available to the council. Ward councillors can and do ask for roads in the ward to be considered when this process takes place. Cllr Rodgers also said that routine repairs where there was damage to a road or pavements took place outside this programme where intervention was clearly necessary, again using objective measures to assess the nature of the defects. He had recently asked for the pavements on Queens Walk between Buckingham Close and Pitshanger Lane to be inspected and repaired if the intervention level had been reached.