

Report for: ACTION	
Item Number:	
7	

Contains Confidential or Exempt Information	NO		
Title	Final Report of Scrutiny Review Panel 1 2014/15 – Ealing's Contracts, Demands and Partnerships		
Responsible Officer	Helen Harris Director of Legal and Democratic Services <a href="mailto:harrish@ealing.gov.uk">harrish@ealing.gov.uk</a> Tel: 020-8825 8615		
Author	Councillor Peter Mason (Chair) Councillor Ian Proud (Vice Chair)  Anna-Marie Rattray Scrutiny Review Officer RattrayA@ealing.gov.uk Tel: 020 8825 8227		
Portfolio	Leader of the Council (Policy Overview Portfolio) - Councillor Julian Bell		
For Consideration By	Cabinet		
Date to be considered	15 September 2015		
Implementation Date if Not Called In	28 September 2015		
Affected Wards	All		
Keywords/Index	Scrutiny, Review, Contracts, Procurement, Demand Management, Demand Management Programme Board, West London Alliance, Recommendations		

## **Purpose of Report:**

The purpose of this report is to refer to Cabinet the final report and recommendations of Scrutiny Review Panel 1 2014/15 – Ealing's Contracts, Demands and Partnerships.

#### 1. Recommendations

That Cabinet consider the following;

- (i) the report and recommendations set out in in Section 11 and the response of Service Officers to each of the recommendations set out in Section 12.
- (iii) directs service officers to produce/or finalise an action plan on those recommendations agreed by Cabinet
- (iii) reports its decisions to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 8 October 2015

#### 2. Reason for Decision and Options Considered

Scrutiny has a role in improving decision-making and service delivery through effective scrutiny. Recommendations from Scrutiny need to be taken forward in a timely manner and in accordance with the Council's Constitution if the Scrutiny function is to be effective. The Scrutiny and Executive Protocol identifies the timescale for Cabinet to respond to Scrutiny recommendations. This decision will mean that the response is made in a timely manner and that services can implement the recommendations accepted.

## 3. Key Implications

The recommendations of Scrutiny Review Panel 1 are provided in a table format in Section 12 of the full report of the Panel in Appendix 1.

The Council Constitution (Part 2 Article 6.03) gives the OSC power to 'set up individual specialist panels ..... to investigate and report back to Overview and Scrutiny Committee ...' Part 4 of the Constitution, Scrutiny Procedure Rules (par.10) identifies that OSC prepares a formal report on its recommendations and submits it to Cabinet.

Where appropriate, service officers have identified the financial, legal and any other pertinent implications against each recommendation to enable Cabinet to reach a decision.

#### 4. Financial

The service officer response, including suggested actions which may have potential financial implications, to each recommendation is provided in Section 12 of Appendix 1.

The majority of the recommendations have no financial impact or can be contained within existing budgets. Where a recommendation involves additional funds then these will have to be obtained through the normal budgeting process. In the present financial situation, where additional funds are required compensating savings will have to be found.

#### 5 Legal

The constitution requires that Scrutiny Review Panel recommendations be submitted to OSC for approval prior to submission to Cabinet. These were considered by the OSC Chair and Vice Chair on 28 July 2015.

The legal implications are outlined against the recommendations, as appropriate. Where additional legal support is required to implement recommendations, this will be met by the service concerned.

#### 6 Value for Money

The effectiveness of Scrutiny is measured by the quality of its recommendations to Cabinet and the extent to which it has contributed to both democratic renewal and Members' community development role. The Panel held open public meetings and solicited views through expert witnesses to ensure a regular and sustained input to the work of the Panel.

If recommendations arising from Scrutiny are not taken forward and implemented in a timely manner then improvements to service delivery are not being made in an efficient and effective manner

There are no value for money issues arising directly from this report. The Panel's work, carried out largely within existing budgets, was carried out without having to engage paid outside assistance.

## 7. Sustainability Impact Appraisal

There are no direct impacts arising from this report.

#### 8. Risk Management

There are no risks directly arising from this report.

### 9. Community Safety

There are no direct implications arising from this report.

## 10. Links to the 6 Priorities for the Borough

#### A Prosperous Borough

Cost effective use of resources to delivery maximum benefits for residents and businesses

## A Healthier Borough

N/A

## A Safer Borough

N/A

#### A Cleaner Borough

N/A

#### A Fairer Borough

Ensuring that job opportunities developed for Ealing residents through the Council's contracting powers are of a high quality

## An Accessible Borough

N/A

#### 11. Equalities, Human Rights and Community Cohesion

No Equality Impact Assessment was required for this report.

## 12. Staffing/Workforce and Accommodation implications:

There are none directly arising from this report.

## 13. Property and Assets

None

## 14. Any other implications

None

#### 15. Consultation

The recommendations take into consideration the views of local partners, carers and foster carers as expressed at the meetings held by the Panel.

## 16. Timetable for Implementation

The Overview and Scrutiny Committee will monitor, twice yearly, the implementation of the recommendations accepted by Cabinet.

	Cabinet Action	Date	Service Implementation
1.	Cabinet accepts some or all recommendations.	15 September 2015	28 September 2015 in line with Call-in requirements.
2.	Cabinet requests further information.	15 September 2015	Service provides additional information for Cabinet on 20 October 2015.
3.	As a result of further information, Cabinet accepts or rejects remaining recommendations.	20 October 2015	2 November 2015 – in line with Call-in requirements.
4.	Cabinet responds to Overview and Scrutiny Committee	8 October 2015 (if no additional information is requested) or 12 November 2015 (if additional information is requested)	

#### 17. Appendices

Appendix1 – Final Report of Scrutiny Review Panel 1 2014/15 – Ealing's Contracts, Demands and Partnerships

## 18. Background Information

Overview and Scrutiny Committee – Agendas, Minutes and Reports, available at Overview and Scrutiny Committee

Agendas and Reports from the meetings of Scrutiny Review Panel 1 2014/15 - Ealing's Contracts, Demands and Partnerships can be found at: <a href="http://ealing.cmis.uk.com/ealing/Committees/tabid/62/ctl/ViewCMIS\_CommitteeDetails/mid/381/id/91/Default.aspx">http://ealing.cmis.uk.com/ealing/Committees/tabid/62/ctl/ViewCMIS\_CommitteeDetails/mid/381/id/91/Default.aspx</a>

For information about Scrutiny in Ealing, including meeting dates and work programmes, go to Scrutiny in Ealing

## Consultation

Name of consultee	Post held	Date sent to consultee	Date response received	Comments appear in paragraph:
Internal				
Greg Birch	Head of Repairs and Maintenance	29/07/2015	31/07/2015	
Matthew Booth	Director of Policy and Performance	29/07/2015	19/08/2915	
Judith Finlay	Director of Children and Families	29/07/2015	17/08/2015	
Maria Christofi	Director of Finance	29/07/2015	18/08/2015	
Tim Smith	Head of Procurement Operations	29/07/2015	30/07/2015	
Simon Brooke	Employment and Skills Manager	03/08//2015	13/08/2015	
Donna Wootton	Head of Emergency Management	03/08/2015	13/08/2015	

# **Report History**

Decision Type:		Urgency item?		
Non-key Decision		No		
Authorised by Cabinet Member:	Date Report Drafted:	Report Deadline:	Date Report Sent:	
N/A	20.07.15	03.09.15	02.09.15	
Report No.:	Report Author and Contact for Queries:			
	Anna-Marie Rattray			
	Scrutiny Review Officer			
	Email: rattraya@ealing.gov.uk			
	Tel: 020-8825 8227			